

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.111.5.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,
Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

MANUEL REAL ALAMILLO,
II,
Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B295160
(Super. Ct. No. 2018023071
(Ventura County))

Manuel Real Alamillo, II appeals his conviction by plea to commercial burglary over \$950 (Pen. Code, § 459, (subd. (a))¹ and receiving a stolen vehicle (§ 496d, subd. (a)) with a prior conviction for violating Vehicle Code section 10851. Appellant admitted an out-on-bail enhancement (§ 12022.1, subd. (b)) and four prior prison enhancements. (§ 667.5.) Pursuant to a negotiated plea, the trial court struck the out-on-bail enhancement and three prior prison enhancements, and sentenced appellant to felony-jail (§ 1170, subd. (h)(5)(B)) as follows: three years in another case (Ventura County Sup. Ct., case no. 2018010746), plus one year for receiving a stolen vehicle

¹ All statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise stated.

(one-third the midterm), plus eight months (one-third the midterm) on the burglary count, plus 12 months on the prior prison enhancement, for a total combined sentence of 68 months. The sentence was split 34 months county jail and 34 months mandatory supervision. (§ 1170, subd. (h)(5)(B).) Appellant was ordered to pay various fines and fees, including a \$600 State Restitution fine and a \$534.48 Criminal Justice Administration fee.²

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised. On March 19, 2019, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. No response has been received from appellant.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126.)

² In an April 9, 2019 letter, counsel notified this court that a motion to stay the State Restitution fine and Criminal Justice Administration fee was filed with the trial court. (See § 1237.2; *People v. Dueñas* (2019) 30 Cal.App.5th 1157, 1172-1173 (*Dueñas*).) We have taken judicial notice of the trial court's March 29, 2019 minute order staying the \$600 State Restitution fine and \$534.48 Criminal Justice Administration fee pursuant to *Dueñas*, at pp. 1172-1173. (Evid. Code, §§ 452, 459, subd. (b).)

The judgment is affirmed.
NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

PERREN, J.

Bruce A. Young, Judge

Superior Court County of Ventura

Richard B. Lennon, Acting Executive Director, under
appointment by the Court of Appeal for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance by Respondent.